Acta Cryst. (1967). 22, 300

The Crystal Structure of Uranium Pentachloride

BY GORDON S. SMITH, QUINTIN JOHNSON AND ROBERT E. ELSON Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Livermore, California, U.S.A.

(Received 11 July 1966)

UCl₅ forms monoclinic crystals with lattice constants: a=7.99, b=10.69, c=8.48 Å; $\beta=91.5^{\circ}$. The space group is $P2_1/n$, and the unit cell contains four UCl₅ units. Full-matrix least-squares refinement of diffractometrically measured X-ray diffraction data resulted in a final R of 6.0%.

The structure is based on a cubic closest packing of chlorine atoms, in which uranium atoms occupy one-fifth of the octahedral holes. Two such octahedra share an edge to form a dimeric U_2Cl_{10} unit. U-Cl distances involving bridging Cl are 2.67 and 2.70 Å; those involving non-bridging chlorine atoms are 2.43, 2.43, 2.44, and 2.44 Å. Uranium atoms in the U_2Cl_{10} units are each shifted by about 0.20 Å from the centers of the octahedra in directions away from one another. The relation of the structure of UCl₅ to those of NbCl₅ and α -UF₅ is discussed.

Introduction

In the uranium-chlorine system, four compounds are known (Katz & Rabinowitch, 1961): UCl₃, UCl₄, UCl_5 , and UCl_6 . Of these, the pentachloride is probably the least studied, because of manipulative difficulties. (In addition to being moisture-sensitive, UCl₅ can disproportionate into UCl₄ and UCl₆ and/or can thermally decompose to UCl₄.) The crystal structure of UCl₅ has not been reported previously, although Zachariasen (1948) mentioned that the crystals are monoclinic. Recent work in this Laboratory (Dodge, Smith, Johnson & Elson, 1966) showed that the pentachloride of the neighboring actinide element, protactinium, forms monoclinic crystals with a molecular structure unlike any previously known halide. It was therefore of interest to see whether or not uranium pentachloride is isostructural. The present structure determination shows, instead, a different crystalline arrangement for UCl₅.

Experimental

Crystals of UCl₅ were obtained by the following procedure: about 10 mg of U_3O_8 was placed in one end of a quartz tube, after which a medium-porosity fritted disc was sealed about $\frac{1}{2}$ inch from the end. The assembly was connected to a vacuum line, and the oxide treated with gaseous carbon tetrachloride at ~ 400 °C to produce what was probably a mixture of UCl₄, UCl₅, and their oxychlorides. Enough carbon tetrachloride was then distilled into the tube to fill about half the volume below the frit, the tube sealed off (about 1 inch above the frit), and heating continued overnight at $\sim 225^{\circ}$ C. After cooling to $\sim 100^{\circ}$ C, all solids and the dark brown solution were placed on one side of the frit, and the other section was washed by distilling carbon tetrachloride vapor into it. The tube was inverted, and the saturated solution of UCl₅ in carbon tetrachloride was filtered through the frit (UCl₃, UCl₄, etc. are insoluble in carbon tetrachloride.) The solution was then slowly cooled to room temperature over a period of 2 hours, resulting in the formation of a number of large crystals. The tube was again inverted and slowly centrifuged, and the crystals washed by distilling carbon tetrachloride over them. Finally, the tube was opened in a dry-box, and a halfdozen or so of the dark brown crystals were sealed in thin-walled glass capillaries for X-ray examination. Only minute amounts of the green tetrachloride were seen.

Single-crystal diffraction photographs showed monoclinic symmetry; the systematic extinctions (h0l, h+l=2n+1; 0k0, k=2n+1) are those uniquely characterizing the space group $P2_1/n$. Unit-cell data are as follows (Mo $K\alpha=0.7107$ Å): a=7.99, b=10.69, c=8.48 Å; $\beta=91.5^{\circ}$ (with an accuracy of about 0.3%); the calculated density, 3.81 g.cm⁻³, for four UCl₅ units per unit cell concurs with the experimental value of 3.81 g.cm⁻³ (Katz & Rabinowitch, 1961).

Intensity measurements were carried out on a General Electric XRD-5 diffractometer equipped with a goniostat. The crystal specimen was a prism (along the *a* axis) measuring about 0.40 mm in length and 0.23×0.16 mm in cross section. Although this crystal was somewhat larger than desired, glove-box manipulations of smaller crystals proved to be quite difficult. The stationary-crystal stationary-counter technique (Furnas, 1957) was used; the radiation was Zr-filtered Mo K α . In all, 495 reflections were recorded up to a 2 θ -cutoff of 36°. Reflections at $\chi = 90^{\circ}$ showed an intensity variation with φ of about $\pm 40\%$ (the linear absorption coefficient for Mo $K\alpha$ radiation is $\sim 290 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). These measurements were used to obtain φ -dependent absorption corrections which were applied to all reflections. The corrected intensities were reduced to relative |F|'s through the application of Lorentz-polarization factors.

Determination of the structure

A three-dimensional Patterson synthesis was readily interpreted to give the location of the uranium atoms. Chlorine atoms in octahedral coordination about uranium could also be recognized; their positions, however, were located from a 'heavy-atom' electrondensity synthesis. All atoms were found to occupy fourfold general positions in $P2_1/n [\pm (x, y, z); \pm (\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)]$. Thus, with individual isotropic temperature factors there are 25 parameters to be determined from the 495 measured reflections.

The structure model was refined by the full-matrix least-squares program of Gantzel, Sparks & Trueblood (ACA Program No.317, unpublished). The atomic scattering factors used were those listed in *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1962) for the neutral atoms. The refinement was based on |F| with the following weighting scheme (Smith, Johnson & Nordine, 1965): $w=F_o^{1/4}$, $F_o < A$; $w=A^{5/4}F_o^{-1}$, $F_o > A$. When the structure factors were placed on an absolute scale, A was 154. Five cycles of refinement reduced the conventional R value to $6\cdot0\%$ (all reflections). Changes in the parameters at this point were ≤ 0.010 times the standard deviations.

A final difference map showed no disquieting features; the largest peak height amounted to only $1.2 \text{ e.} \text{Å}^{-3}$. This peak, about 1 Å distant from the uranium atom, is part of some rather broad detail, apparently due to absorption effects. For purposes of further comparison, a refinement series was carried out on |F|'s which were uncorrected for absorption. The *R* value for this refinement was 12.6%; parameters, however, in all cases save one were within 2σ of the values obtained when the absorption factors were applied. These results indicate the effectiveness of the absorption corrections in providing a substantially better fit between observed and calculated data.

Parameters from the R=6.0% refinement were accepted as final, and are given in Table 1. Table 2 lists observed and calculated structure factors.

Table 1. Final parameters in UCl₅

All atoms in general positions, e.s.d.'s in parentheses.

Atom	10 ⁴ x	10 ⁴ y	104 <i>z</i>	В
U	-523(2)	1378 (1)	1653 (2)	$2.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ Å}^2$
Cl(1)	389 (13)	1111 (9)	-1374 (12)	2.6 ± 0.2
Cl(2)	-1388(14)	1275 (12)	4384 (13)	3.6 ± 0.3
Cl(3)	2443 (15)	1267 (12)	2409 (14)	3.6 ± 0.3
Cl(4)	-3414(14)	1265 (11)	693 (13)	3.4 ± 0.3
Cl(5)	-464 (14)	3657 (11)	1469 (13)	3.5 ± 0.3

Discussion of the structure

Each uranium atom is coordinated octahedrally to six chlorine atoms; two such octahedra share an edge to form a U_2Cl_{10} unit (Fig. 1) which is situated at a crystallographic center of inversion. (There are two dimers per unit cell.) This dimeric configuration is very similar to those found in the solid state for NbCl₅ and TaCl₅ (Zalkin & Sands, 1958) and MoCl₅ (Sands & Zalkin, 1959). At the same time, the configuration differs totally

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors

H.K= 0. 0 1	2 65 -62 1	-3 362 367]	2 41 37	-1 13 9	-2 1 11 1		L FUB FCA	L FUB FUA
	3 10 -0	-2 61 -62	6 163-167	0 134-143	-1 18 19 1	H.K# 3. 8	-4 120 107	-4 26 24
LFUBFUA	3 10 -0 1	-2 01 -02	1 11 10			i con con		
2 164-162		-1 55 -54	6 133 134	1 08 -07	0 /41 230	L FUB FCA	-2 44 27	
4 176-171	H.K= 0. 9 1	0 27 -31		2 204 203	1 50 - 42	-2 17 13	-2 37 36	-2 25 21
1 112 163	1 6 08 56 4	1 201-202	W.K. 7. 1	3 63 61 1	2 246-274	-1 47 -46	-1 53 51	-1 130 134
0 102 152	L FUB FLA	1 201-202	n, K= 2, 1	, ,, ,,	2 2 4 4 4 4 4			
	1 17 16	2 79 79	L FOB FCA	4 69 - 60	3 14 18	0 73 78	0 196-188	0 62 - 22
		1 101 189	-7 1 3	5 17 21	4 1 1	1 198 192	1 82 -88	1 129-137
H+K* U, I]		3 143 100		, , ,, ,,	- 2 - 2 - 2 - 1			
L FOB FCA 1	H,K= 1, 0	4 40 -41	-6 72 76		5 5 11		2 122 120	2 23 24
		6 20	-5 100 108	H.K. 7. A	A 45 45	H.K= 4. 0	3 11 10	3 5 -6
1 133 123	L FLD FLA	2 24 -20	- 100 100					
2 229-226	-7 64 69	6 22 -21	-4 167-179	L FUH FCA		L FUB FCA	4 47 47	
1 107 108	-6 160 167		-3 143-147	-5 28 32	H.K. 3. 3	-6 68 70		H.K. 5. 5
3 201-198	-5 140 151		1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1 1 2 2 1 2 6		1 509 554
4 175 174 1	-3 461-491	H . K 1 . 7	2 63 62	-4 1 14	L FUD FLA	-4 122 125	111K- 41 0	
5 50 50	-1 77 74	I FOR FCA	-1 33 -25	-3 96 96	-6 75 -66	-2 382-371	L FOB FCA	-3 144 145
1 11 10			0 140 154			0 77 74	-1 167 166	-7 59 63
6 1 2	1 2/3 2/3	-6 68 -60	0 104 124	-2 15-10	-5 1 0	0 11 14	-3 142 144	2 30 01
7 59 50	3 234-240	-5 131 119	1 148 178	-1 49 -54	-4 67 -70	2 161 173	-2 74 -72	-1 65 -68
1 22 20			3 307 305		-1 101 -04	4 161-144	-1 02 -05	0 17 4
	5 31 31	-4 22 33	2 207-205	0 0/ 00	-5 101 -98	4 101-100	-1 92 -93	
H.K= 0. 2	7 189 190	-3 53 58	3 127-126	1 61 63	-2 180 186		0 23 15	1 78 - 88
				3 10 10	-1 05 07	H Y = 4 1	1 66 -66	2 52 -50
L FUB FCA		-2 6/ 68	4 04 00	2 10 10	-1 43 47	110.0 40 1	1 40 40	2 2 2
0 61 -55	H.K= 1.1	-1 231-240	5 27 - 29	3 85 87	0 120-115	L FOB FCA	2 53 48	3 1 25 1 22
1 100 101		0 105-109	4 97 90	4 71 -69	1 7 - 10	-4 111 108	3 49 45	
1 309-297	L FUB FCA	0 105-104	0 01 90	4 /1 -04	1 1-10	-0 111 100		
2 29 23	-7 119 117	1 157 160		5 166-137	2.93-87	-5 101 99		H.K= 5. 6
	-4 114 114	5 11 11	H.K. 2. 2		3 103 -96	-4 96-103	H.K. 4. 7	1 FOB FC/
3 1 7/	-0 114 110						6.08 67	
4 18 17	-5 104-117	3 11 15	L FUB FCA	n,k= ∠, 7	• 1/• 1/1	-, 40-50	L FUB FLA	
5 44 57	-4 38 -44	4 73 61	-6 18 -22	L FOB FCA	5 74 78	-2 40 -41	-2 21 12	-1 55 -56
		6 171-100		-6 10 77	4 57 - 67	-1 104-105	-1 97 -94	0 59 -4
6 24 - 26	-3 62 -67	2 1/1-120	-5 36 -47	-4 14 22	0 31 - 31	-1 104-103	-1 72 - 74	0 33 -4
7 116-112	-2 142-145	6 51 -44	-4 1 -7	-3 109-111		0 205 195	0 27 -27	1 48 48
	1 -1 202 2		-3 149-150	1 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1	H.X = 3. 4	1 167 147	1 136 133	
	-1 202 202		- 140-190					
H,K= 0, 3	0 255 226	H,K≖ 1, 6	-z 39 38	-1 24 -25	L FOB FCA	2 113-120	4 1Z 13	H+K= 0+ (
1 600 604	1 100-176	I EOR ECA	-1 63 50	0 19 - 16	-5 134-123	3 24 - 25		I FOR FC
L FOB FCA	1 100-114		1 0, 10					
1 131-126	2 31 - 30	-5 54 53	0 39 - 34	1 1 38 141	-4 60 51	4 30 -42	H ,K# 5, U	-2 107-110
2 122-121	3 70 - 72	-4 161 168	1 110-100	2 16 16	-3 1 32 1 33	5 68 -70	L FOB FCA	0 62 -5
				1 100-100	- 2		-6 203 104	2 260 24
3 242 218	4 119-118	-3 84 -85	2 8 - 2	3 109-109	-2 9-11		-5 205 190	2 240 24;
4 100 105	5 145 147	-2 93-110	3 127-133	4 21 - 16	-1 89 89	H+K= 4+ 2	-3 12 -2	
	4 07 05	-1 11 16	4 11 13		0 49 -46	I FOR FCA	-1 166-167	H.K. 6.
2 00 -12	0 97 99	-1 23 13	4 33 33		0 00 00	2 . 00 . 04		
6 1 -7	7 69 -71	0 68 -66	5 200 204	H,K= Z, 8	1 360-369	-5 39 43	1 151 170	L FUB FC/
		1 70 40	A 17 - 20	1 508 514	2 71 67	~ 6 17 - 21	1 2 8 11	-3 17 3
		1 10 09	0 11 - 20	L FOD FCA				
H.K= 0. 4	H,Ka 1, 2	2 49 53		-3 43 -47	3 115 109	-3 215-217		-2 92 -9
	I FOR FCA	3 76 -76	H.K= 2. 3	-2 100 -94	4 10 1	-2 33 34	H.K= 5. 1	-1 89 -95
L FOD FCA	L roo rea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1 2				0 111 10
0 474-491	-7 1 -4	4 103 -90	L FG8 FCA	-1 89 92	5 90 93	-1 142 144	L FU8 FLA	; 0 111 104
1 93 93	-4 49 51	5 18 16	-6 60 57	1 0 100 99		0 12 -7	-5 57 57	1 66 6
1 0) 0)	-0 -1 -1	10 10						
2 126 123	-5 16 -25		-> 134-132	1 37 - 38	H1K1 34 5	1 13 11	-4 02 00	, <u> </u>
3 7 7	-4 240-260	H.X = 1. 7	-4 98-103	2 19 14	L FOB FCA	2 21 - 23	-3 145-147	3 41 34
		500 564	3 143 164	1 60 60	-6 16 16	3 44 49	-2 111-114	
4 160 133	-3 41 42	L FUB FLA	-3 103 130	3 30 - 30	- 10 19	3 04 -07	-2 111-114	
5 56 -51	-2 165 172	-4 23 -27	-2 36 36	1	1 -4 20 -20	4 23 25	-1 53 62	H,K× 6,
4 1 20-1 22	-1 11 -7	-1 10 16	-1 10 11	H.K. 3. 0	-3 142 149	5 87 91	0 18 - 12	
0 100-120	-1 15 -1	-5 17 10						
	0 121 110	-2 110-120	0 83 84	L FUB FUA	-2 15 19		1 1 91 94	
W.K. 0. 5	1 61 - 36	-1 24 -27	1 209-197	-5 144 153	-1 176-182	H.K. 4. 3	2 93 100	-2 1 1
				-1 144-140	0 51 -69	1 509 574	3 112-114	1 - i - i
L FUB FLA	2 42 -48	0 121 103	2 110-104	-3 104-104	0 31 - 38	L FUB FCA	3 113-110	
1 59 -62	3 35 37	1 19 17	3 150 145	-1 113-114	1 22 15	-5 121-117	4 78 - 79	U 14 1
3 314 313	6	2 20 -10	4 43 44	1 444 480	2 44 -42	-4 47 -56		1 1 1 39 1 20
2 210 211	1 1 1 2 1 2 3 4	27 - 30		1 107 707				
3 100 98	5 1 -7	3 18 -0	5 22 26	1 3 130-137	3 1 68 1 63	-3 48 48	H142 21 2	2 24 -2
4 186-164	6 25 30	4 102 -95	6 44 51	5 101-114	4 91 82	-2 32 -29	I L FOB FCA	3 135-12
	1 2 20 - 12				5 143-124	-1 120 124	-4 57 -48	
- 22 v	1 1 20 -11			1	1 143-120	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1		
6 14 -9	1	H,K= 1, 8	H,K= 2, 4	н,к= 3, 1		0 118 114		H,K= 6,
	1 11 1 - 1 - 1	1 1 108 114	I FUR FCA	L FOR FCA	H.K. 3. A	1 185-194	-2 109-108	L FOB FC
	1 110	1		1			-1 76 74	
H.K= U. 6	I L FUB FCA	-3 177-190	−o 194-190	-0 0/ 60	L FUD FLA	2 07 -08	-1 29 20	1 -3 -0 -4
L FOB FCA	-6 126-133	-2 66 70	-5 33 27	-5 7 -6	-4 39 39	3 31 31	0 69 73	j −2 57 −6.
0 0/ 107		1 10 14	1 -4 10 -15	-4 50 63		4 13 -21	1 23 - 22	-1 113 11
0 94 105	1 -2 22 -62	-1 30 26	18 -15		-, -, -,8		1 4 4 7 4 7 4 7	
1 155 178	-4 38 44	i 0 60 35	I-3 30 40	-3 149-158	-2 1 -9	5 57 79	Z 61 -63	j U 62 5
	1	1 1 103 00	-3 104 100	-7 160-162	-1 19 - 19		3 1 -2	1 76 -7
2 41 -40	-> 48 -43	1 105 44	-2 104 104	-2 130-102				
3 10 6	1 -2 156 165	2 92 -92	-1 78 -79	-1 178 183	0 138-146	H,K= 4, 4	4 /6 -/2	Z 4-1
1 11 - 11	1 -1 165 161	3 105 -96	0 198-199	0 117 108	1 90 86	L FOB FCA	1	1
	-1 147 141	1 103 -40	0 110-144	1				1 H.R. A .
5 44 - 37	0 237-238	1	1 32 33	1 13-16	2 145 145		1 111 2 2 3	1
	1 98 -03	H.X.= 1. 0	2 34 - 28	2 82 80	3 38 - 38	-4 113-102	I L FOB FCA	I L FOB FC
	1 70 - 93			1		-1 58 54	-4 107-104	-2 88 0
H,K× 0, 7	2 38 39	I L FOR FCA	1 5 51 49	3 100-100		-1 20 20	1 101-100	
I FOR FCA	3 32 - 33	-1 139 152	4 329 289	4 153-153	1	-2 294 293	-3 91 -89	1 -1 12 -1
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		5 61 -54	5 123 120	H.X . 3. 7	-1 53 -51	-2 126 127	0 51 4
1 80 85	4 1 2 2 1 3 1	0 20 24	2 01 - 70	1 2 123 120			1 1 1 1 1	1 1 10 - 1
2 12 22	1 5 76 81	1 104-100	6 107-110	1 6 45 44	L FUB FCA	0 62 - 58	1 -1 24 29	1 1 20 - 3
3 160-154	6 113-114			1 .	-3 30 26	1 16 -18	1 0 17 17	
2 100-120	0 110-110					2 1 2 2 - 1 2 2	1 49 67	
		I H.K. 2. 0	H,K= 2, 5	H+K* 3+ 2	-2 131-137	2 137-137	1 4 40 22	
4 30 -27								
4 30 -27	H.X. 1. 6	I FOR FCA	1 1 FOB FCA	L FOB FCA	1 -1 15 -14	3 60 54	1 2 121-117	
4 30 -27	H.K. 1. 4	L FOB FCA	L FOR FCA	L FOB FCA	-1 15 -14	3 60 54	3 72 -61	
4 30 -27 H+K= 0+ 8	н,Хз , 4 L FCB FCA	L FOB FCA -6 222 232	L FOR FCA -5 52 -49	L FOB FCA -6 117 119	0 79 81	4 146 134	3 72 -61	
4 30 -27 H.K. 0.8	H,X= 1, 4 L FCB FCA	L FOB FCA -6 222 232 -4 24 17	L FOR FCA -5 52 -49 -4 186 176	L FOB FCA -6 117 119 -5 21 -27	-1 15 -14 0 79 81 1 9 3	3 60 54 4 146 134 5 28 -29	3 72 -61	
4 30 -27 H.K. 0. 8 L FOB FCA	H,X= 1, 4 L FCB FCA -6 1 -8	L FOB FCA -6 222 232 -4 24 17	L FOR FCA	L FOB FCA -6 117 119 -5 21 -27	-1 15 -14 0 79 81 1 9 3 2 63 63	3 60 54 4 146 134 5 28 -29	2 121-117 3 72 -61 4 95 92	
4 30 -27 H.K. 0. 8 L FOB FCA O 242 231	H,X= 1,4 L FCB FCA -6 1 -8 -5 127-126	L FOB FCA -6 222 232 -4 24 17 -2 236-242	L FOR FCA -5 52 -49 -4 186 176 -3 75 74	L FOB FCA -6 117 119 -5 21 -27 -4 54 -61	-1 15 -14 0 79 81 1 9 3 2 63 63	3 60 54 4 146 134 5 28 -29	2 121-117 3 72 -61 4 95 92	

from the infinite chains of pentagonal bipyramids sharing edges found in protactinium pentachloride (Dodge, Smith, Johnson & Elson, 1967).

The U–Cl bond lengths to non-bridging Cl are 2·43, 2·43, 2·44, and 2·44 Å (Table 3), all with standard deviations of 0·01 Å. These agree excellently with the U–Cl bond distance of $2\cdot42\pm0\cdot1$ Å reported for the octahedral molecule, UCl₆ (Zachariasen, 1948). U–Cl bond lengths to the bridging chlorines, Cl(1) and Cl(1'), are significantly longer at 2·70 and 2·67 Å, respectively. Similar variations between bonds to bridging and non-bridging Cl are known in a number of complexes, including, for example, NbCl₅ and MoCl₅. Interestingly enough, the U–Cl bond distances involving both bridging and non-bridging chlorines are very similar to those found in PaCl₅, despite the fact that Pa is seven-rather than six-coordinate.

The uranium atoms are each shifted by about 0.20 Å from the centers of the octahedra in directions *away* from one another, *i.e.* along the line joining U and U' (Fig. 1). The bridging chlorine atoms Cl(1) and Cl(1'), partially collapse toward one another so that their interatomic distance of 3.39 Å is shorter than the intra-octahedron Cl...Cl contacts (3.53 - 3.64 Å) not involving either Cl(1) or Cl(1'). As a result of these two distortions, bond angles within the octahedra deviate noticeably from 90°. In particular, the Cl(1)– U–Cl(1') angle is compressed to 78.4° .

The crystal structure as a whole is based on the cubic closest packing (c.c.p.) of Cl atoms, in which uranium atoms occupy one-fifth of the octahedral holes. This arrangement is illustrated in Fig.2, where the square nets (in the present case, quasi-square nets) characteristic of c.c.p. are shown. Overlay of successive nets gives rise to the formation of sub-cellular, face-centered cubes of Cl atoms. For the present arrangement of uranium atoms and for the idealized case of exact c.c.p. of chlorine, simple geometrical considerations require that the repeat distance along the b axis be $4\sqrt{2}$ times R (R=the packing radius of Cl). Similarly, the a- and c-axis repeat distances should both be equal to $2\frac{5}{5}$ R. Numerical values obtained for R = 1.80 Å (Pauling, 1960) are: a = c = 8.05 Å, b = 10.18 Å, compared with experimental values of a = 7.99, b = 10.69, c = 8.48 Å. The observed monoclinic angle of 91.5° deviates slightly from 90°. These calculated lattice parameters are expected to represent minimum values, since the effect of introducing uranium atoms into the

octahedral holes should, if anything, cause an expansion of the Cl lattice.

It should also be pointed out that the c.c.p. of Cl in UCl_5 is in contradistinction to the case for $NbCl_5$, TaCl₅, and MoCl₅, where the Cl are in hexagonal closest packing (h.c.p.). Thus, UCl_5 and $NbCl_5$ repre-



Fig.1. Configuration of U_2Cl_{10} dimer. Distances in Å. A primed atom is related to its corresponding unprimed atom by a center of inversion.



Fig.2. Sequence of networks along the b axis. Chlorine positions are based on a cubic closest packing arrangement; uranium atoms occupy octahedral sites. The various chlorine atoms are indicated by numbers, uranium atoms by stippling.

Table 3. Distances and angles in UCl₅*

UCl(1)	2.700 ± 0.010 Å	Cl(1) - U - Cl(5)	$92.2 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$
U - Cl(1')	2.674 ± 0.010	Cl(2) - U - Cl(1')	93.0 ± 0.4
UCl(2)	2.434 ± 0.011	Cl(2) - U - Cl(3)	92.6 ± 0.4
UCl(3)	2.443 ± 0.012	Cl(2) - U - Cl(4)	91.5 ± 0.4
UCl(4)	2.432 ± 0.011	Cl(2) - U - Cl(5)	96.5 + 0.4
UCl(5)	2.442 ± 0.012	Cl(3) - U - Cl(1')	$86 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 4$
UU'	4.165 ± 0.003	Cl(3) - U - Cl(5)	92.6 + 0.4
Cl(1)-U-Cl(1')	$78.4 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$	Cl(4) - U - Cl(1')	87.8 ± 0.4
Cl(1)-U-Cl(3)	87.8 ± 0.4	Cl(4) - U - Cl(5)	92.8 ± 0.4
Cl(1)-U-Cl(4)	87.4 ± 0.3	U-Cl(1)U'	101.6 ± 0.3

* Numbering of atoms follows that of Fig. 1. e.s.d.'s include only uncertainties in the positional parameters.

sent two crystallographically distinct ways of forming $M_2 \text{Cl}_{10}$ dimeric units within the solid state. Polymorphic transformations between the c.c.p. and h.c.p. arrays would appear very likely. The Cl arrangement in crystalline PaCl₅ does not follow either of these two packing modes. Rather, the infinite chains are themselves arranged in a quasi-hexagonal bundle.

In the c.c.p. arangement, each Cl has 12 Cl neighbors at the vertices of a cuboctahedron. Because of the distortions within the chlorine framework, the cuboctahedral coordination about each Cl is not, in general, regular. When sorted out, contacts between Cl in the same octahedron about U show the tendency to be shorter than those between Cl in different octahedra. The ranges of values are $3\cdot39-3\cdot71$ Å and $3\cdot65-3\cdot95$ Å, respectively (Table 4). In addition to having 12 Cl neighbors, Cl(2), Cl(3), Cl(4), and Cl(5) are each bonded to one uranium; Cl(1) is the exception in being bonded to two uranium atoms. Fig. 3 shows a typical coordination polyhedron; the others may be found by overlaying the networks of Fig. 2.

The present structure is closely related to that of α -UF₅ (Zachariasen, 1949). α -UF₅ is tetragonal with a=6.529, c=4.472 Å; c/a=0.685. The fluorine atoms are in (quasi-) c.c.p. with square nets of the type in Fig. 3 occurring along the c axis. Uranium atoms again occupy one-fifth of the octahedral holes, but with the difference that the octahedra share vertices to form infinite chains parallel to c. Thus, the repeat distance along the stacking direction occurs after two layers in α -UF₅, rather than four layers in UCl₅. For exact c.c.p. of F, predicted values of the lattice parameters in α -UF₅ become a=21/5 R_F and c=21/2 R_F (R_F=packing radius of F); c/a=0.632. Numerical values for $R_{\rm F} = 1.35$ Å (Pauling, 1960) are a = 6.04, c = 3.82 Å. As expected, the predicted values are too small, uranium atoms evidently causing an expansion of the fluorine framework. In particular, elongation of the octahedra



Fig. 3. Coordination polyhedron about Cl(4). This central atom is omitted for the sake of clarity. The twelve chlorine neighbors are at the vertices of a cuboctahedron. View is normal to the y direction of a right-handed coordinate system. Values of y increase from bottom to top of page; values of z increase going to right, but z axis is tipped 20° into page (cf. Fig. 2).

Table 4. Nearest neighbor Cl(i)-Cl(j) distances in UCl_5 Distances (Å) given only for $j \ge i$. Asterisks denote distances within same octahedron.

i j	d	i j	d	i j	d
1 - 1	3.39*	2 - 5	3.83	3 - 4	3.65
-2	3.71*	-2	3.65	-4	3.87
-2	3.84	-3	3.53*	-4	3.91
-2	3.84	-3	3.80	- 5	3.53*
-3	3.50*	-3	3.95	- 5	3.79
- 3	3.57*	-4	3.48*	- 5	3.79
-3	3.79	-4	3.70	4 - 4	3.87
-4	3.54*	-5	3.64*	5	3.53*
-4	3.55*	- 5	3.75	- 5	3.80
-4	3.88	- 5	3.82	- 5	3.90
- 5	3.71*			5-5	3.85
	Standard deviation in the $d's = 0.02$ Å.				

through the agency of bridging fluorines appreciably lengthens the observed *c*-axis repeat distance.

The description of the structure in terms of a closestpacked array leaves open the question whether the U_2Cl_{10} dimers have an independent existence as discrete molecules. For example, are the U_2Cl_{10} dimers retained upon solution of UCl₅ in an inert solvent or upon a change of state? The only information bearing on this subject that we are aware of is the molecularweight study (Katz & Rabinowitch, 1961) which indicates the presence of U_2Cl_{10} dimers in CCl_4 solutions. On the other hand, NbCl₅ has a trigonal bipyramidal configuration in the vapor phase, and while the evidence concerning its molecular configuration in solution is not as convincing, the spectroscopic results of Carlson (1963) also favor the monomeric, trigonal bipyramidal arrangement. This apparent difference of behavior in solution is a further point of dissimilarity between UCl₅ and NbCl₅.

We are indebted to Dr A.Zalkin for furnishing copies of the several IBM-7094 programs used in the calculations. We thank Dr C. K. Johnson for supplying a copy of his ORTEP program. We acknowledge also with gratitude the aid of Mr D. Wood in the dry-box manipulations of the UCl₅ samples. This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

References

- CARLSON, G. L. (1963). Spectrochim. Acta, 19, 1291.
- Dodge, R. P., SMITH, G. S., JOHNSON, Q. & ELSON, R. E. (1967). Acta Cryst. 22, 85.
- FURNAS, T. C. (1957). Single Crystal Orienter Instruction Manual. Milwaukee: General Electric Company.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- KATZ, J. J. & RABINOWITCH, E. (1961). The Chemistry of Uranium, Chap. 14. New York: Dover Publications.
- PAULING, L. (1960). The Nature of the Chemical Bond. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- SANDS, D. E. & ZALKIN, A. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 723,
- SMITH, G. S., JOHNSON, Q. & NORDINE, P. C. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 668.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1948). Acta Cryst. 1, 285.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1949). Acta Cryst. 2, 296.
- ZALKIN, A. & SANDS, D. E. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 615.